

BOY SCOUTS OF AMERICA

DATE March 31, 1987

FULL NAME DONALD L. BETZ SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER \_\_\_\_\_  
(No initials if you can possibly get full name)

ADDRESS \_\_\_\_\_

CITY Hicksville STATE Ohio ZIP CODE 43526

DATE OF BIRTH March 12, 1951 (This is important and should be exact)

APPROXIMATE AGE \_\_\_\_\_ (To be used ONLY when date of birth is not known)

RELIGION \_\_\_\_\_ NATIONALITY American

OCCUPATION Self employed

EDUCATION \_\_\_\_\_

WEIGHT 140 lbs. HEIGHT 5'6" RACE caucasian

COLOR OF HAIR brown COLOR OF EYES blue

OUTSTANDING CHARACTERISTICS OR INTEREST sear ring in left ear

MARRIED OR SINGLE married CHILDREN \_\_\_\_\_

NAME OF SPOUSE \_\_\_\_\_ (Number, ages, and names, if possible)

SCOUTING CONNECTIONS:

| <u>UNIT #</u> | <u>CITY</u> | <u>STATE</u> | <u>POSITION</u> | <u>DATE REGISTERED</u> | <u>DATE RESIGNED</u> |
|---------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| P 139 #013353 | Sherwood    | Oh           | CA              | 1/4/87                 |                      |
| T 139 #006647 |             |              |                 |                        |                      |

SPECIAL RECOGNITION \_\_\_\_\_

SUSPENDED OR DENIED REGISTRATION FOR FOLLOWING REASONS:

Conviction of "Gross sexual imposition, a felony of the third degree"

SPECIFY THE FACTS WHICH LEAD YOU TO RECOMMEND DENIAL OF REGISTRATION AND LIST ATTACHED SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS (STATE ONLY KNOWN FACTS, NOT RUMOR, CONJECTURE OR SPECULATION):

The situation was brought to my attention through District Executive, David L. Smith, and to him by volunteer Scouters in P 139. See attachment

CONFIDENTIAL

21 1987

F. STARON

RS-509  
4/14/83-nah

*Added to CF file  
Added from all  
registrations 4-15-87*

NOTED

MAY 29 '87

JOSEPH L. ANGLIM

Signed [Signature]  
SCOUT EXECUTIVE

Council Shawnee # 452

---

June 3, 1987

Mr. Henry J. Preston, Jr.  
Scout Executive  
Shawnee Council, No. 452

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

SUBJECT: Donald L. Betz

Dear Henry:

Thank you for the detailed information concerning the above Scouter. We have reviewed this case with our attorney and have now placed this man on the Confidential File.

Sincerely,


Paul Ernst, Director  
Registration & Subscription Service

PE/eak

cc: East Central Region

READY TO FILE  
JUN 08 1987  
ERIN O'RILEY

CONF015560



# SHAWNEE COUNCIL No. 452

BOY SCOUTS OF AMERICA  
2605 ALLENTOWN ROAD, LIMA, OHIO 45805  
PHONE 419/227-2107

March 31, 1987

Donald L. Betz

[REDACTED]  
Hicksville, Oh 43526

Dear Mr. Betz:

After careful review, we have decided that your registration with the Boy Scouts of America should be suspended. We are therefore compelled to request that you sever any relations that you may have with the Boy Scouts of America.

You should understand that BSA leadership registration is a privilege and is not automatically granted to everyone who applies. We reserve the right to suspend registration whenever there is a concern that an individual may not measure up to the high standards of leadership which the BSA seeks to provide for American youth. Please also understand that this decision and the reasons for it will be maintained as confidential.

If you wish to have this decision reviewed, please write to me, explaining your version of the facts supporting your claim that your registration as a BSA leader should be granted or reinstated.

Sincerely yours,

J. Chris Crawford  
President

JCC:JP:tr



United Way

CONF015561

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF DEFLANCE COUNTY, OHIO

State of Ohio,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Donald L. Betz,

Defendant.

JOURNAL 79 PAGE 1

Case No. 4657

JOURNAL ENTRY

This 24th day of October, 1983, this cause came on for sentencing, the Defendant having previously pleaded GUILTY on August 4, 1983, to the offense of Gross Sexual Imposition, a Felony of the Third Degree. The State of Ohio was represented by Peter R. Seibel, Prosecuting Attorney of Defiance County, and the Defendant appeared without Counsel having previously waived his right not to be represented by same.

A review of the Court file indicates that the Defendant was advised of his eligibility to opt for a determinate or indeterminate sentence and that the Defendant in writing stated to the Court that he wished to be sentenced under the determinate sentencing law. The Defendant was allowed to speak in mitigation of punishment and declined to make any comment. The Prosecuting Attorney made no statement. The Defendant then stated that he knew of no reason why sentence should not be imposed.

and a careful review